DNA Match Exploration: Going from "New Match" to "Known Cousin"

This process first appeared as a series of six parts in the Genetic Genealogy Tips & Techniques group on Facebook (<u>https://www.facebook.com/groups/geneticgenealogytipsandtechniques</u>) in August 2018. The series is NOT meant to be the only way to do such an analysis, and this isn't the same process I always use. It is meant merely to be an example of a method you might use. Of course, ALL names are changed to protect privacy.

DNA Match Exploration Part 1

I thought it would be fun to share a sample process showing how I might work with an unknown match to reach a hypothesis about how we're related. In this initial step, I've identified a new match at AncestryDNA, and I open his match page for review. I see that he is active (last logged in 3 days ago), which is a good sign, but his tree only has four names. I don't recognize any of the surnames from my own tree. In the next step, I'll review how much DNA we share to get a relationship estimate.



FIG. 1. AncestryDNA Match Page for James Graham

DNA Match Exploration Part 2

In the first part of this series, we quickly reviewed this new match. Now, let's click the "I" (shown in FIG. 2) to show the total shared cM and the number of segments. The match shares 35 cM across 1 segment. AncestryDNA estimates 4th-6th cousin, however I ignore company estimates and go to the Shared cM Project, and the relationship probabilities, to obtain an estimate.

Going to the Shared cM Project (easily accessed at <u>https://dnapainter.com/tools/sharedcmv4</u>), I see that 35 cM fits numerous different relationships because it is a smallish segment (FIG. 3). Reviewing the probabilities, I see that 35 cM has a 51% probability of being roughly more distant than a 4C (FIG. 4). It could be closer, including as close as 3C. It is very unlikely to be closer than a 3C, so, when looking for a common ancestor, it is almost certainly not closer than great-great-grandparents (3Cs) and is most likely great-great-grandparents or further (4C or more).



FIG. 2. AncestryDNA Match Page for James Graham, Showing Total Shared cM (35 cM)

August 2017 Blaine T. Bettinger www.thegeneticgenealogist.com More about this project CC 4.0 Attribution License Interactive version v4 by Jonny Perl at DNA Painter Click here to contribute data to the shared cM project Shared cM online tool version 4 with probabilities Last updated 20th April 2018				Filter Enter the total number of cM for your match here: 35 : show % : Then any relationships that fit will stand out below : Click here for a sharable link to the cM amount above :					н	low to read	d this chart
			Painter 1 project ities						eset	Relationship Average Range (low to high) (99th percentile)	
Half GG-Aunt / Uncle 187 12 - 383				ireat-Grandpare 881 464 - 1486							Other Relationships
	Half Great- Aunt / Uncle 432 125 - 765			Grandparent 1766 1156 - 2311			Great-Aunt / Uncle 914 251 - 2108				6C 21 0 - 86
		Half Aunt / Uncle 891 500 – 1446		Parent 3487 3330 - 3720		Aunt / Uncle 1750 1349 – 2175					6C1R 16 0 - 72
Half 3C 61 0 - 178	Half 2C 117 9 - 397		Half Sibling 1783 1317 – 2312	Sibling 2629 2209 – 3384	SELF		2C 233 46 - 515	3C 74 0 - 217	4C 35 0 - 127	5C 25 0 - 94	6C2R 17 0 - 75
Half 3C1R 42 0 - 165	Half 2C1R 73 0 - 341		Half Niece / Nephew 891 500 - 1446	Niece / Nephew 1750 1349 – 2175			2C1R 123 0 - 316	3C1R 48 0 - 173	4C1R 28 0 - 117	5C1R 21 0 - 79	7C 13 0 - 57
Half 3C2R 34 0 - 96	Half 2C2R 61 0 - 353		Half Great- Niece / Nephew 432 125 – 765	Great-Niece / Nephew 910 251 – 2108	Grandchild 1766 1156 - 2311	1C2R 229 43 - 531	2C2R 74 0 - 261	3C2R 35 0 - 116	4C2R 22 0 - 109	5C2R 17 0 - 43	7C1R 13 0 - 53
Half 3C3R	Half 2C3R	Half 1C3R 87 0 - 191	Half GG-Niece / Nephew 187	Great-Great- Niece / Nephew 427		1C3R 123 0 - 283	2C3R 57 0 - 139	3C3R 22 0 - 69	4C3R 29 0 - 82	5C3R 11 0 - 44	8C 12 0-50

FIG. 3. Relationships Encompassing 35 cM in the Shared cM Project (Via DNA Painter)

inter the total numb	er of cM for your match here:
35 \$	rese
how %	
	ips that fit will stand out below able link to the cM amount above
Relationship prol	babilities (based on stats from The DNA Geek)
51.39%	6C 6C1R 5C 6C2R 4C1R 5C1R 7C Half 3C2R 4C2R 5C2R 7C1R 3C3R 4C3R 5C3R 8C or more distant
18.07%	Half 3C 3C1R Half 2C2R 2C3R
17.88%	4C Half 3C1R 3C2R
9.53%	3C Half 2C1R 2C2R Half 1C3R
3.13%	Half 1C2R † Half 2C 2C1R 1C3R
~ 0%	** Half GG-Aunt / Uncle
	Half GG-Niece / Nephew
** this set of relations	hips is just within the threshold for 35cM, but has a zero probability in thednageek's table of probabilities
	a positive probability for 35cM in thednageek's table of probabilities, side the bounds of the recorded cM range (99th percentile)

FIG. 4. Probabilities of Genealogical Relationships Based on Sharing 35 cM (Via DNA Painter)

DNA Match Exploration Part 3

We've reviewed this new match (James Graham) and we've checked the amount of total shared cM to get a very rough relationship estimate. Now we're going to use our most powerful Secret Weapon, shared matches!

Clicking on the Shared Matches tab (see the red arrow in FIG. 5), we get the list of shared matches (see FIG. 6). There are 16 people total in the match list, and due to Ancestry's shared match threshold, all 16 people must be 4C or closer (estimated) to both the test taker and the match. Six of the people in the list were previously tentatively identified by me as being related through the Vance family of Upstate New York! About half of the six were shown by documentary evidence to be descendants of this Vance family, and the other half were tentatively assigned based on shared matching with these Vance family descendants.

A hypothesis is forming, namely that the new match James Graham is related through the Vance family, or that portion of the test taker's ancestry. Thus, we hypothesize that we are likely to run into a Vance family (or the test taker's lines that married into the Vance family) if we review the family tree of James Graham.

However, at this point, we have **proven** **NOTHING** about this genealogical relationship. We have a hypothesis we can test, but that's all we have. In the remainder of the series, we're going to try to find additional evidence - both DNA and non-DNA - to test our Vance family hypothesis. At some point during the series we will also discuss how we would approach this match if we didn't have any shared match clues.

*	James Graham Send Message Member since 2016, last logged in 5 days ago Send Message	Ethnicity Regions: Europe West, Ireland/Scotland/Wales, Scandinavia,
節	Predicted relationship: 4th Cousins Possible range: 4th - 6th cousins (What does this mean?) Confidence: High	Iberian Peninsula, Great Britain Trace Regions: Europe South, Finland/Northwest Russia
~	Add note	
	♀ Pedigree and Surnames Image: Shared Matches ♀ Map and Locations	l i

FIG. 5. AncestryDNA Match Page for James Graham, Showing the Shared Matches Tab

	♥ Pedigree and Surnames Image:						
	Shared matches with James Graham $_{m heta}$						
Sort by:	Relationship Date						
Filters	🧭 Hints 🔹 New 🕇 Starred		Search Matches				
4TH CO	DUSIN						
*	victrola18 → Vance Family Possible range: 4th - 6th cousins Confidence: Very High Last logged in Feb 17, 2017	=t <mark>e</mark> No family tree	View Match				
* 🥊	bethaniePL ⊇ Vance Family Possible range: 4th - 6th cousins Confidence: High Last logged in Oct 21, 2017	=ta 234 people	View Match				
*	Guillermo Garcia Possible range: 4th - 6th cousins Confidence: High Last logged in Feb 25, 2018	=[[#] 829 people	View Match				
+ -		eran de est anna.					

FIG. 6. AncestryDNA Match Page for James Graham, Showing Shared Matches

DNA Match Exploration Part 4

Based on shared matching, we've hypothesized that this match is related via the Vance family or a related line. Now we'll review the match's tree in greater detail.

Although the tree is a bit meager, it will prove to be a wealth of information. Usually, one or two people are all you need! As shown in FIG. 7, the tree has 5 people. One is the match, and another is only listed as Private. When I click on EACH person in the tree, there is absolutely no date or location information, as shown in FIG. 8. This date/location information is often extremely useful when building a match tree. However, with two couples (John and Nancy (Chrisman) Graham, and ? and Maxine (Derouin) Graham), we should be able to identify this family.

When I use the Public Member Tree search function at Ancestry, using "John Graham" with spouse last name "chrisman", I get a list of trees that identify this Graham family, as shown in FIG. 9. If this didn't work, I could try other search combinations, including Maxine (Derouin) Graham. I could also Google the names to look for obituaries (and when I search for Maxine (Derouin) Graham, the very first hit is her Find A Grave memorial). There are many possible avenues for identifying the match and his ancestry thanks to the information he has provided.

Now that we've identified him and his family, what do we do with this information? In Part 5, we'll build a tree for James Graham!

	James Graham Send Message Member since 2016, last logged in 3 days ago Send Message						Ethnicity Regions: Europe West, Ireland/Scotland/Wales, Scandinavia,
	Predicted relatio Possible range: 4th Confide	h - 6th cousins ((What does this me	<u>an?</u>)			Iberian Peninsula, Great Britain Trace Regions: Europe South, Finland/Northwest Russia
		Pedigree and	d Surnames 📰	Shared Matches 3RD GEN 4TH GEN	Map and Loc STH GEN 6TH GEN		=t <mark>#</mark> View Full Tree
> Chrisman				John Gran	am		
> Chrisman		•	_	Drivate			
 > Chrisman > Graham > Derouin 		0		Private	isman		

FIG. 7. AncestryDNA Match Page for James Graham, Showing James Graham's Tree

Jam Jam John Graham	John Graham					
Vital Events (1 of 2 timeline events) Birth	More from this family tree 2 timeline events 0 source records 0 stories	d/Wales, Scandinavia Ia, Great Britain Europe South, rest Russia				
James Graham's tre	© 0 photos View Full Tree Parents	4ª View Full Tree				
5 people Surnames (10 generati Chrisman	No Father ? - ? No Mother ? - ?					
Graham Derouin	Spouse and Children Nancy Chrisman ?-? Private ?-?					

FIG. 8. AncestryDNA Match Page for James Graham, Showing James Graham's Tree

All Public Member Trees results for John Graham						
Search Filters	Broad Exact	Depute 1, 20 of 1 500				
John		Results 1–20 of 1,590 Member Tree	Name	Parents		
Graham 1 MORE SEARCH FIELDS: Hide ac	lditional fields 🔨	Smith family tree	John Graham			
sPouse(s): chrisman Edit Search New Searc	th Update	Public Member Tree 2 attached records, 2 sources	Birth: 21 September 1877 - Chickasaw, USA Death: 30 November 1947 - Valley, Nebraska Marriage: Aug 14, 1901 - Osceola, Clarke, Iowa Spouse: Nancy Chrisman	— F: Samuel E. Graham — _ M: Elizabeth Simpson		
Family Trees		Susan's family tree	John Graham	F: Samuel Graham		
Public Member Trees		Public Member Tree 5 attached records, 5 sources	Birth: Abt 1877 Death: Nebraska Spouse: Nancy Chrisman	M: Elizabeth Simpson		

FIG. 9. Public Tree Member Search Results for "John Graham" and Spouse "Chrisman"

DNA Match Exploration Part 5

Based on previous steps in the analysis, we've identified this match as potentially being related through the Vance line using shared matching, but we found only a few names in the family tree without dates or location. However, we were able to find information about the family (using the Public Tree search function at Ancestry, although there are MANY other methods).

Now we're going to build a GRAHAM family tree, beginning with the match James Graham. To do this, we're going to create a NEW tree at Ancestry (or you can use your own software, or

another platform). When you do this, be sure to make it a *private and unsearchable* tree (see FIG. 10) for at least two reasons: (1) we're going to build a QUICK & DIRTY (Q&D) tree, so we don't want to perpetuate what will unquestionably be some bad information; and (2) people can be upset by finding their close family members in another tree.

Why a Q&D tree? Because we're going to quickly build a tree to look for possible connections. If we built something more than a Q&D tree, we'd never get this process done for more than a tiny handful of matches. IF we find one or more possible connections, we will then dive in further and document the tree as necessary. For now, we're still on the hunt for clues.

To build the Q&D Graham tree, we're going to use any resources we have, including shaky leaf/tree hints, other people's trees, and so on. YES! We really are! We can also use any of the innumerable available record sources, including <u>FamilySearch.org</u>, <u>Newspapers.com</u>, and so on. At this stage, however, the records are primarily online sources due to the time constraints.

After doing this, we find that James' great-great-grandmother was likely a VANCE! See FIG. 11. I've also built the tree back another generation in an attempt to prevent any misleading conclusions. Failing to consider Tree Completeness is one of the biggest errors we make when using DNA evidence. So far, I don't recognize any of the other surnames or locations (and one Swiss line helps me eliminate possibilities, since the test taker has no known Swiss ancestry).

So now what do we do?

Again, we have **proven** **NOTHING** about this genealogical relationship. We have a hypothesis with several pieces of evidence (shared DNA, a cluster of shared matching, and a putative genealogical connection), but we have NOT come even close to a point where we can consider this a conclusion.

• Graham Family Tree •	STEP Tree Settin	ıgs
Tree Overview	2 <u>Tree Info</u> Privacy Setting	Sharing
Media Gallery	Tree Name	요 Your home person in this tree
All Hints		
+ Tree Settings	O Private Tree 🔶 1	
E < Sharing	This setting allows you to keep your tree "private" so that other users cannot view	the contents of your tree. STEP
🖴 📲 Tighe Family Tree	What does "private" mean?	3
-Cole Family Tree	Limited information about deceased individuals in your tree (name, birth year, and However, no one can view your actual tree without your permission. If another me anonymously throgour Connection Service to request more information. Keep i	mber wants to view your tree, they can contact you
Ar Family Group Sheet	information to share with you.	
+ Unrelated Person	Also prevent your tree from being found in searches. Note: Although your privacy setting will change immediately to public or private, it us reflected in the search index.	sually takes about a month for this update to be
+ ADD RELATIVE	Save Changes	

FIG. 10. Steps for Making an Ancestry Family Tree Private and Unsearchable



FIG. 11. Quick & Dirty Tree at Ancestry for James Graham

DNA Match Exploration Part 6

Via Parts 1-5, we've hypothesized that James Graham is related through the Vance family. We identified James and then built his family tree, which found a Vance ancestor. Although this Vance connection is likely to explain the 35 cM segment that James shares with the test taker, the connection may only be a coincidence, so we must use caution making any conclusions.

One thing that MUST be done at this stage is to document the discovery. I recommend at least two methods of documentation. The first is to generate a detailed note in the notes field of the match. There is a notes field at EVERY testing company, and almost no one uses them sufficiently. In the image, I've created a note in the Ancestry notes field. Because I use <u>MedBetterDNA</u>, a Chrome extension, this note will show on the main match page, as shown in the second figure below. The second is entirely separate from the testing platform, such as a notebook, document, or family tree software.

After finding a possible Vance ancestor in the Quick & Dirty (Q&D) tree, there are a wide variety of "next steps" that can be taken, including but not limited to:

1. Go back and verify/document the line from Beulah to James Graham using documentary evidence gathered from both Ancestry.com and non-Ancestry.com, online and offline sources;

- 2. Tie James' Vance ancestor, Beulah Vance, into the test taker's Vance family (is it the same family?);
- 3. Contact the match to see if they have any additional information (although, frankly, this is often unnecessary for this stage; in the current example, I haven't need to contact the match for anything) or to get segment data (for example, I may want to ask them to transfer to GEDmatch or if they've tested at FTDNA, MyHeritage, or 23andMe where I can get segment data);
- 4. Use your chromosome map to examine the 35 cM segment shared with James Graham, if you can determine the segment. In this example, James transferred to GEDmatch and the segment aligned with the test taker's paternal grandmother's DNA, which is the grandparent having the Vance family.

Have we established this relationship based on the evidence we gathered in Parts 1-5? No, I don't think we've reached that point, especially because we have not yet specifically tied the two Vance families together, but we absolutely have a very strong hypothesis that has not yet been disproven by the evidence we've gathered.





FIG. 13. AncestryDNA Matches Page, Showing Notes for James Graham